

CF 5002

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH
AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (S) No. 5981 /2006

PETITIONERS

- :1) Nandkumar Das Vaishnav S/o. Shri / Sukhdev Das Vaishnav, aged about 41 years, Occupation- Govt. Servant (Assistant Teacher, Govt. Primary School (boys) Lormi, Block Lormi District Bilaspur (C.G.).
- :2) Chhedilal Patre, S/o. Shri Kunjram Patre, aged about 45 years, Occupation- Govt. Servant (Assistant Teacher, Govt. Primary School Hadgaon, Block Lormi District Bilaspur (C.G.).
- :3) Nandkumar Tiwari, S/o. Shri Hagru Prasad Tiwari, aged about 52 years, Occupation- Govt. Servant (Assistant Teacher, Govt. Primary School Dholgi, Block Lormi District Bilaspur (C.G.).
- :4) Jagendra Prasad Kashyap, S/o. Shri Videshiram Kashyap, aged about 46 years, Occupation- Govt. Servant (Assistant Teacher, Govt. Primary School Chhirhutti, Block Lormi District Bilaspur (C.G.).

P.R. No. 6011/06
Presented by Shri. Uma Patel
dated 23-10-06
3:20 PM

RECEIVED
COPY
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR



- :5) Gautam Lal Sahu, S/o. Shri /
Faguram Sahu, aged about 42
years, Occupation- Govt. Servant
(Assistant Teacher, Govt. Primary
School Golhapara, Block Lormi
District Bilaspur (C.G.).

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

- :1) State of Chhattisgarh, Through /
Secretary, Department of School
Education, DKS Bhawan,
Mantralaya, Raipur (C.G.)
- :2) District Education Officer /
Bilaspur (C.G.)
- :3) Block Education Officer, Lormi, /
District Bilaspur (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227
OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

35

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W. P. (s) No. 5981 of 2006

Petitioners : Nandkumar Das Vaishnav & others

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh & others

Single Bench: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri.**

Mrs. Hamida Siddique, Advocate for the Petitioners.

Ms. Deepali Pandey, Panel lawyer for the State/respondents.

ORDER

(6th November, 2006)

1. The petitioners are working as Assistant Teachers in the government school. In the course of their services as Assistant Teachers, the petitioners have obtained B. Ed. examination certificate on their own expenses before 1.3.1999.
2. According to learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners are entitled to two advance increments in view of the decision of this Court in the case of Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj Vs. Municipal Corporation, Durg and another {2006 (II) MPJR-CG 96}. This Court in the case of Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj Vs. Municipal Corporation, Durg and another (Supra), came to the conclusion that the teachers, who had obtained B. Ed. /B.T.I. Examination Certificate on their own expenses, are covered by the circular dated 24.12.98 (Annexure P/1) issued by the State Government of Madhya Pradesh.
3. Further in the matter of Smt. Manorama Shrivastava Vs. State of M.P. and others { 2000 (1) M.P.L.S.R. 136 } the Madhya Pradesh State Administrative Tribunal, Gwalior Bench, while considering the circular dated 1.3.1999 wherein, it is provided that the Assistant Teachers, who have acquired B.Ed. degree at their own cost between 23.10.1964 and 7.5.1973 shall be entitled to two advance increments, relied on decision in the case of Rakesh

36

Kumar Shrivastava Vs. State of M.P. & others passed in O.A.No. 1632/99, decided on 12.10.1999 wherein it is observed that the circular dated 1.3.1999 cannot be made applicable retrospectively. Thus, a teacher, may be Assistant Teacher, who has obtained B.Ed. degree before 1.3.1999 is entitled to two advance increments.

4. It is pointed out by learned counsel appearing for the petitioner that the then State of Madhya Pradesh had filed a Special Leave Petition against the orders passed by the Tribunal and the Hon'ble Supreme Court dismissed Special Leave Petition No. 1999/2000 filed against the order of the Tribunal. Therefore, the direction to the effect that the circular dated 1.3.1999 cannot be made applicable retrospectively, passed by the Tribunal, becomes final and the same shall be applicable to all the Assistant Teachers who have obtained B.Ed. examination certificate before 1.3.1999. It is further substantiated by a circular dated 17.10.2003 issued by the present State of Madhya Pradesh wherein the circular clearly states about the decision of the Supreme Court.
5. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the facts and question of law involved in the present case are squarely covered by decision of this Court in the case of Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj Vs. Municipal Corporation, Durg and another (Supra), to which learned counsel appearing for the respondents fairly concedes and agrees with the averment made by learned counsel appearing for the petitioners.
6. Accordingly, the writ petition is allowed in terms of the order passed in the case of Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj Vs. Municipal Corporation, Durg and another (Supra), subject to verification of the fact as to whether the petitioners have acquired B. Ed. Examination Certificate on their own expenses as per the circulars dated 24.12.1998 1.3.1999 and further whether they have been paid two advance increments or not. No order as to costs.

Bablu

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge